

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9884	
10/045,657		11/07/2001	George Morrison	ARTM 1011-4 US		
34263	7590	11/14/2005		EXAMINER		
		YERS LLP	ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE			
17TH FLC		TER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPOR	Т ВЕАСН,	CA 92660		3739		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/045,657	,	MORRISON ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
			Rosiland S.		3739					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status										
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>09 No</i>	ovember 20	05.						
, <del></del>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 47-63 is/are pending in the	application	า.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) 47-63 is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	r election re	quirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the o	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Ex	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. <ol> <li>The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific</li> </ul>										
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			4)						

Art Unit: 3739

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 47-51, 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burbank et al. (US 6331166) in view of Eggers et al. (US 6514248) and Eggers (US 5611798).

Burbank et al.'166 disclose a method for creating a tissue section within surrounding tissue comprising positioning a distal end of a catheter assembly at a target location within a patient, outwardly extending an elongate tissue separator element and rotating the separator element about an axis to separate a tissue section from surrounding tissue. Burbank et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the separator element cutting tissue as it is extended outwardly and initiating an electrosurgical arc.

Eggers et al. '248 teach that it is old and well known in the art to cut the tissue as the separator is extended outwardly to avoid seeding or metastasizing cancer in the body. Eggers '798 teaches that it is old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made to initiate a spark during an electrosurgical cutting procedure to cause the tissue to be coagulated after it is cut.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cut the tissue as the separator extends outwardly and to initiate a spark during the procedure to avoid seeding and to coagulate treated tissue after it has been cut.

Claims 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burbank '166, Eggers et al. '248 and Eggers '798 further in view of Burbank et al. (US 6540693).

Burbank et al. '166, Eggers et al. '248 and Eggers '798 combined teach all of the limitations of the claims except moving a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition. Burbank et al. '693 disclose a similar procedure and teach that it is old and well known in the art to moving a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition as illustrated in figure 15, to secure the device to the tissue. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide and move a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition during the procedure as taught by Burbank et al. '693 to secure the device to the tissue.

Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burbank et al. '166, Eggers et al. '248 and Eggers '798 further in view of Wilk et al. (US 5417697).

Art Unit: 3739

Burbank et al. '166, Eggers et al. '248 and Eggers '798 combined; teach all of the limitations of the claims except surrounding the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element. Wilk et al. disclose a similar procedure and teach that it is old and well known in the art to surround the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element (see figures 8a-c and col. 8 lines 49+) to capture and remove tissue following separation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to surround the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element during the procedure as taught by Wilk et al. to capture and remove tissue following separation.

Claims 56-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burbank et al. '166, Eggers et al. '248 and Eggers '798 further in view of Burbank et al. '693 and Wilk et al. '697.

Burbank et al. '166, Eggers et al. '248 and Eggers '798 combined teach all of the limitations of the claims except moving a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition and surrounding the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element.

Burbank et al. '693 disclose a similar procedure and teach that it is old and well known in the art to move a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition as illustrated in figure 15, to secure the device to the tissue. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide and move a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter

Art Unit: 3739

assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition during the procedure as taught by Burbank et al. to secure the device to the tissue.

Wilk et al. disclose a similar procedure and teach that it is old and well known in the art to surround the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element (see figures 8a-c and col. 8 lines 49+) to capture and remove tissue following separation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to surround the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element during the Burbank et al. '166 and Eggers et al. procedure combined as taught by Wilk et al. to capture and remove tissue following separation.

Claims 47-51, 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US 6022362) in view of Eggers et al. (US 6514248) and Eggers (US 5611798).

Lee et al.'362 disclose a method for creating a tissue section within surrounding tissue comprising positioning a distal end of a catheter assembly at a target location within a patient, outwardly extending an elongate tissue separator element and rotating the separator element about an axis to separate a tissue section from surrounding tissue. Burbank et al. teach all of the limitations of the claims except the separator element cutting tissue as it is extended outwardly and initiating an electrosurgical arc.

Eggers et al. '248 teach that it is old and well known in the art to cut the tissue as the separator is extended outwardly to avoid seeding or metastasizing cancer in the body. Eggers '798 teaches that it is old and well known in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 3739

invention was made to initiate a spark during an electrosurgical cutting procedure to cause the tissue to be coagulated after it is cut.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cut the tissue as the separator extends outwardly and to initiate a spark during the procedure to avoid seeding and to coagulate treated tissue after it has been cut.

Claims 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee '362, Eggers et al. '248 and Eggers '798 further in view of Burbank et al. (US 6540693).

Lee et al. '362 and Eggers et al. combined teach all of the limitations of the claims except moving a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition. Burbank et al. '693 disclose a similar procedure and teach that it is old and well known in the art to moving a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition as illustrated in figure 15, to secure the device to the tissue. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide and move a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition during procedure as taught by Burbank et al. '693 to secure the device to the tissue.

Art Unit: 3739

Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. '362, Eggers et al. '248 and Eggers '798 further in view of Wilk et al. (US 5417697).

Burbank et al. '166 and Eggers et al. combined; teach all of the limitations of the claims except surrounding the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element. Wilk et al. disclose a similar procedure and teach that it is old and well known in the art to surround the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element (see figures 8a-c and col. 8 lines 49+) to capture and remove tissue following separation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to surround the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element during the procedure as taught by Wilk et al. to capture and remove tissue following separation.

Claims 56-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. '362, Eggers et al. '248 and Eggers '798 further in view of Burbank et al. '693 and Wilk et al. '697.

Lee et al. '362, Eggers et al. '248 and Eggers '798 combined teach all of the limitations of the claims except moving a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition and surrounding the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element.

Burbank et al. '693 disclose a similar procedure and teach that it is old and well known in the art to move a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition as illustrated in figure 15, to secure the device to the tissue. Therefore, it would have

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide and move a tissue holding element located at the distal end of the catheter assembly from a retracted condition to an extended tissue engaging condition during the combined procedure as taught by Burbank et al. to secure the device to the tissue.

Wilk et al. disclose a similar procedure and teach that it is old and well known in the art to surround the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element (see figures 8a-c and col. 8 lines 49+) to capture and remove tissue following separation.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to surround the separated tissue section with a tubular braided element during procedure as taught by Wilk et al. to capture and remove tissue following separation.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 47-63 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S. Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,657 Page 9

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739